Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 45819 MICA CORPORATION RN101434751

Docket No. 2012-2675-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Mica, 1948 Probandt, San Antonio, Bexar County

Type of Operation:

Fleet refueling facility

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: June 7, 2013

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$8,882

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$1,776 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$7,106 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$0

Payment Plan: N/A

SEP Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Unclassified

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2011

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 45819 MICA CORPORATION

RN101434751 Docket No. 2012-2675-PST-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: August 8, 2012 and December 7, 2012

Date(s) of NOE(s): December 7, 2012

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to provide corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST") system [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)].
- 2. Failed to monitor the UST for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1)].
- 3. Failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the UST. Specifically, the annual piping tightness test was not conducted [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a)].
- 4. Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

Respondent successfully conducted the annual piping tightness test on February 8, 2013.

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require Respondent to:

- a. Immediately, begin maintaining all UST records and ensure they are made immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel.
- b. Within 30 days:
- i. Install a corrosion protection system and test the system; and
- ii. Implement a release detection method for the UST at the Facility.
- c. Within 45 days, submit written certification demonstrating compliance with Ordering Provisions a. and b.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 45819 MICA CORPORATION RN101434751

Docket No. 2012-2675-PST-E

Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Judy Kluge, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 6, MC R-04, (817) 588-5825; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-0412

TCEO SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: L.C. Tubb, Jr., President, MICA CORPORATION, P.O. Box 161609, Fort

Worth, Texas 76161-1609

Jack L. Davis, Vice President and Treasurer, MICA CORPORATION, P.O. Box 161609,

Fort Worth, Texas 76161-1609 **Respondent's Attorney:** N/A

			, v

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) PCW Revision August 3, 2011 Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) Assigned 10-Dec-2012 PCW 18-Mar-2013 Screening 12-Dec-2012 EPA Due RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent MICA CORPORATION Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101434751 Facility/Site Region 13-San Antonio

Major/Minor Source Minor

CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No.	45819	No. of Violations	3
Docket No.	2012-2675-PST-E	Order Type	
Media Program(s)	Petroleum Storage Tank	Government/Non-Profit	No
Multi-Media		Enf. Coordinator	
		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 6

Penalty Calculation Section	
TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal	1 \$8,750
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1	Providence Providence Providence Providence
Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. Compliance History 0.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, &	7 \$0
Notes No adjustment for compliance history.	
Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement Subtotal	4 \$0
Notes The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.	
Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal	5 \$0
Economic Benefit 0.0% Enhancement* Subtotal Total EB Amounts \$612 *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount	6 \$0
Approx. Cost of Compliance \$8,118 SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 Final Subtot.	al \$8,750
OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 1.5% Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.	it \$132
Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance associated with violation no. 2.	
Final Penalty Amous	nt \$8,882
STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT Final Assessed Penals	ty \$8,882
DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.) Adjustment	-\$1,776
Notes Deferral offered for expedited settlement.	
PAYABLE PENALTY	\$7,106

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Screening Date 12-Dec-2012

Docket No. 2012-2675-PST-E

Respondent MICA CORPORATION

Case ID No. 45819

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101434751

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Judy Kluge

pliance Histo Component	ory Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Audits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
		ease Enter Yes or No	Γ
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
Ouici	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
eat Violator	Adjustment Per (Subtotal 3)	rcentage (Sub	total 2
N	Adjustment Pe	rcentage (Sub	total 3
npliance Hist	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Satisfactory	Performer Adjustment Pe	rcentage (Sub	total 7
npliance Hist	ory Summary		1
Compliance History Notes	No adjustment for compliance history.		
	Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2,	3, & 7

Screening Date		Docket No. 2012-2675-PST-E	PCW
	MICA CORPORATION		Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)
Case ID No.			PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	Petroleum Storage Tank		
Enf. Coordinator			
Violation Number			2
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Cod	le § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.347	5(d)
Violation Description	Failed to provide corros	sion protection for the underground storage tank	system.
		Bas	e Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Prope	rty and Human Heal	th Matrix	
	Harm		
OR Release		e Minor	r
Potentia		Percent 15.0%	1.600 Village
>>Programmatic Matrix			Transver operation
Falsification	Major Moderate		Of the seal in the
		Percent 0.0%	
		Add to the second to the secon	
		 could be exposed to pollutants which would exce or environmental receptors as a result of the viol 	
		•	
		Adjustment	\$21,250
			\$3,750
Violation Events			
Number of	Violation Events 1	5 Number of violation	days
	daily		
	weekly		
mark only one	monthly x	Violation Base	e Penalty \$3,750
with an x	quarterlysemiannual	Violation Base	35,730
	annual		
	single event		
ſ			
One monthly		ed on documentation of the violation during the E o the December 12, 2012 screening date.	December
	7, 2012 100010101101101	o the becomes 11, 2011 on coming date.	
Good Faith Efforts to Com	ply 0.0	% Reduction	\$0
	Extraordinary Before NOV	V NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Ordinary		-
	N/A x	(mark with x)	
	The Respo	ondent does not meet the good faith criteria for	
	Notes	this violation.	
	<u>L.</u>		
		Violation	Subtotal \$3,750
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limit	Test
Estimat	ed EB Amount	\$389 Violation Final Pena	ilty Total \$3,807
	Thie	violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted fo	or limits) \$3,807
	Y Chiri	(unjusted to	- 45,007

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		Principles
Respondent Case ID No.		ATION				:	
	Petroleum Sto					Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	1						Depreciation
					100000000000000000000000000000000000000	5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$					1 2 2	
Delayed Costs				_			
Equipment	\$6,000	8-Aug-2012	12-Jul-2013	0.93	\$19	\$370	\$389
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	<u>\$0</u>
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs		investigation d	ate, and the fir	al date	is the expected d		
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before			for one-time avoid	
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
spection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0 \$0	50 50	\$0 \$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	5.0
Other (as needed) Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$6,000			TOTAL		\$389

	Screening Date	12-Dec-2012		Docket N	Vo. 2012-2675-PST-E		PCW
	Respondent	MICA CORPORA	ATION			Policy Revision	on 3 (September 2011)
	Case ID No.					PCW R	evision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent	. Reference No.	RN101434751					
	Media [Statute]		age Tank				
	nf. Coordinator	Judy Kluge					
	Violation Number						
	Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Ac			(b)(2), and Tex. Water C	ode §	
			26.3	475(a) and (c)(1)		
VI	olation Description	(not to excee	d 35 days between ear the piping associated	ach monitoring	ency of at least once eve g). Also, failed to provid r. Specifically, the annu- onducted.	e release	
					Bas	e Penalty	\$25,000
							OT OTHER DESIGNATION OF THE PERSON OF THE PE
>> ENVIRO	nmental, Prope	rty and Hum	an Health Matri: Harm	X			
	Release	Major	Moderate Min	or			1994
OR	Actua			1			***
	Potentia	x			Percent 15.0%		
		1			Surrence of the second		v derquirely of
>>Prograi	mmatic Matrix			100			700000000000000000000000000000000000000
	Falsification	Major	Moderate Mine	or			A PATRICULAR PATRICULA
					Percent 0.0%		h family year
							Dr.
M	atrix Human healt	n or the environs	ment will ar could be	evnoced to no	llutants which would exc	eed levels	The state of the s
					ors as a result of the viol		Village control of the control of th
, , ,	otes chacare,	A OCCCUTE OF HOM	idir ricular or crivirori	mental recept	ord as a restate or the viol		pulse or friends
							O PARTICIPATION
					Adjustment	\$21,250	delater
						_	10 550
						L	\$3,750
Violation E	ivente						A Comment
Violation	vents.						
	Number of	Violation Events	1 1	5	Number of violation	davs	80000
		1		L		,-	
	mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	X		Violation Base	e Penaity	\$3,750
	One mentili	avant is recom-	anded based on dear	imantation of	the violation during the I	December	
	One monthly		rd review to the Dece		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	December	**************************************
		/, ZOIZ (CC)	a review to the beec		z percenting date.	الحسنسي	1
•							1.0
Good Faith	Efforts to Com	ply	0.0% Reducti		. 0#	L	\$0
		Extraordinary	Before NOV NOV to E	DPRP/Settlement	Offer The Control of		S. S
							0.0.000
		Ordinary					
		N/A	X (mark wi	th x)			·
		Notes	The Respondent doe	s not meet the this violation	e good faith criteria for		A A PERSON TO THE STATE OF THE
		•			Violation	Subtotal	\$3,750
Economic	Benefit (EB) for	this violatio	in		Statutory Limit	Test	
	Estimat	ed EB Amount[202	Violation Final Pena	ity Total	\$3,807
			This violation F	inal Assesse	d Penalty (adjusted fo	or limits)	\$3,807

Paris San	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet	100	
Respondent	MICA CORPOR	ATION		oncertained contribution	a canamara canamanda (a la Calamanda) in a canamana anno a sanda (a la	The second second control of the second seco	
Case ID No.							
Reg. Ent. Reference No.							
	Petroleum Sto	rage Tank					Years of
Violation No.	2	age raint				Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No.	-				100	5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						100
				148082494440000000		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings			11.00	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,500	8-Aug-2012	12-Jul-2013	0.93	\$69	n/a	\$69
Notes for DELAYED costs		ſ	inal date is the	expect	ed date of complia		
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before			for one-time avoid	\$0
Disposal				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]	\$118	8-Aug-2011	8-Feb-2013	2.42	\$14	\$118	\$132
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$110	0-AUU-2U11	0-FED-2013	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed) Notes for AVOIDED costs	Estimated a	avoided cost for co	enducting the ai	nnual p		t. The date require	
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$1,618			TOTAL		\$202

Screening Date		Docket No. 2012-2675-PST-E	PCW
1	MICA CORPORATION		Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.			PCW Revision August 3, 2011
	Petroleum Storage Tank	*	
Enf. Coordinator			2000
Violation Number			
Rule Cite(s)		30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)	
·			
Violation Description		ecords and make them immediately available for upon request by agency personnel.	
republish		Bas	se Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Heal	th Matrix	
Release	Harm		200
OR Actual			у при
Potentia		Percent 0.0%	NOTICE THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderat	ge Minor	
	x	Percent 5.0%	
Matrix	1000/ of the	wile requirement was not met	
Notes	100% of the	rule requirement was not met.	
		Adjustment	\$23,750
			\$1,250
Violation Events			
Number of	Violation Events 1	5 Number of violation	n days
	daily		
	weekly monthly		
mark only one	quarterly	Violation Ba	se Penalty \$1,250
with an x	semiannual		-
-	annual		
The Control of the Co	single event x		
	One sin	gle event is recommended.	
Good Faith Efforts to Com	iply 0.0	0% Reduction	\$0
	Before NC	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
esta proportion	Extraordinary		
venitoriologic	Ordinary N/A X	(mark with x)	
CONTRACTOR			1
renconomis	Notes The Resp	ondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
account of the state of the sta		a no violation	
· .		Violatio	n Subtotal \$1,250
Economic Benefit (EB) fo	r this violation	Statutory Lim	it Test
Estima	ted EB Amount	\$21 Violation Final Pe	nalty Total \$1,269
a management		violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted	for limits) \$1,269
	INIS	violation rinal Assessed Femalty (adjusted	10. mmc9 41/203

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent Case ID No.		ATION			anii wana wa gankii ila da		
	Petroleum Sto					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Violation No.	3					= 0	1
	10000				********	5.0 Onetime Costs	15 EB Amount
		Date Required	Final Date	TES	Interest Saveu	Offetime Costs	ED AIIIOUIK
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delaved Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$500	8-Aug-2012	12-Jun-2013	0.84	\$21	n/a	\$21
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs			the expec	ted dat	e of compliance.	tigation date, and the	
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	COSES DEIGIES	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Disposal Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel aspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$500			TOTAL		\$21

The TCEQ is committed to accessibility.

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN600745517, RN101434751, Rating Year 2012 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2007, through August 31, 2012.

Customer, Respondent, CN600745517, MICA CORPORATION

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 25.62

or Owner/Operator:

Regulated Entity:

RN101434751, MICA

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Rating: -----

Complexity Points:

Repeat Violator: NO

CH Group:

14 - Other

Location:

1948 PROBANDT SAN ANTONIO, TX 78214-1240, BEXAR COUNTY

TCEQ Region:

REGION 13 - SAN ANTONIO

ID Number(s): PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION 56511

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2007 to August 31, 2012

Rating Year: 2012

Rating Date: 09/01/2012

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: December 11, 2012

Component Period Selected: December 11, 2007 to December 11, 2012

TCEO Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Judy Kluge

Phone: (817) 588-5825

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

NO

3) If YES for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? N/A

5) If **YES**, when did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

B. Criminal convictions:

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

N/A

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program: $_{\mbox{\scriptsize N/A}}$

J. Early compliance:

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
MICA CORPORATION	§	
RN101434751	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2012-2675-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On _______, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding MICA CORPORATION ("Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a fleet refueling facility at 1948 Probandt in San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent's one underground storage tank ("UST") is not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about December 12, 2012.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Eight Thousand Eight Hundred Eighty-Two Dollars (\$8,882) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Seven Thousand One Hundred Six Dollars (\$7,106) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Seven Hundred

Seventy-Six Dollars (\$1,776) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes the Respondent successfully conducted the annual piping tightness test on February 8, 2013.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to provide corrosion protection for the UST system, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d), as documented during an investigation conducted on August 8, 2012, and a record review conducted on December 7, 2012.
- 2. Failed to monitor the UST for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on August 8, 2012, and a record review conducted on December 7, 2012.
- 3. Failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the UST, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on August 8, 2012, and a record review conducted on December 7, 2012. Specifically, the annual piping tightness test was not conducted.

4. Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on August 8, 2012, and a record review conducted on December 7, 2012.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: MICA CORPORATION, Docket No. 2012-2675-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, begin maintaining all UST records and ensure they are made immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10.
 - b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
 - i. Install a corrosion protection system and test the system, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49; and
 - ii. Implement a release detection method for the UST at the Facility, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50.
 - c. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a. and 2.b. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager San Antonio Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 14250 Judson Road San Antonio, Texas 78233-4480

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.

- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 7. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

MICA CORPORATION

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
For the Executive Director	7/1/13 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the do agree to the terms and conditions specified there accepting payment for the penalty amount, is mater	e entity indicated below my signature, and l ein. I further acknowledge that the TCEO in
 Increased penalties in any future enforcement 	by result in: submitted; eral's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, to a collection agency; nt actions; 's Office of any future enforcement actions; aw.
Signature Janie	3-25-2013 Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of	VICE PRES. & TREAS. Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.